

From: "Pat Williams" <p.williams@chk-usa.com>
To: "Randy Young" <Ryoung@khlaw.com>
Date: Tuesday, October 23, 2001 12:48:21 PM
Subject: RE: Location Data

Listed below and attached are the coordinates of the trial sites.

Utility	City	Coordinates
Duke Power	Charlotte, NC	N35 13 49.5 W80 50 54.2
PacifiCorp	Salt Lake City, UT	N40 46 52.5 W111 55 43.4
Florida Power & Light	Miami, FL	N25 47 45.2 W80 12 00.7
Texas Utilities	Dallas, TX	N32 46 10.3 W96 45 58.3
Alliant Energy	Madison, WS	N43 03 59.1 W89 23 05.2

If I need to provide any additional information let me know asap.
I'll be on the road again this week, back in the office on Friday, however
you can
reach me at 303-884-8332 on the cellular.

Thanks,
Pat

-----Original Message-----

From: Randy Young [mailto:Ryoung@khlaw.com]
Sent: Thursday, October 18, 2001 8:37 AM
To: p.williams@chk-usa.com
Cc: Wayne Black
Subject: RE: Location Data

Pat,

You are right, the number is 25. The confusion of the Commission is most likely associated with absence of the original processor, Doug Young, who is on extended sick leave. The processor that I talked with, Tony Serafini, did not appear very knowledgeable about the STA and the authorized operating parameters. Regardless, yes, we are on the same wavelength in our thinking - 5 utilities with 5 sites each for a total of 25 sites. I put a call in to Jim Burtle, Chief of the Commission's Experimental Licensing Branch. I am cautiously optimistic that he will be able to "cut through the red tape" and that the extension may be granted based on the information already provided. However, in the event that the staff still wants site location data, it should not be necessary to determine "exact" site coordinates (since we will be providing point-radius locations), although it will be helpful to document coordinates for each site as it is installed. In fact, it would likely be more expeditious to identify five general areas where you believe that the devices will be installed and extract the central coordinates from a map. We can then provide to the Commission those coordinates and, say, a 30 mile radius for each as the intended locations. Because of timing issues, it would make sense to proceed with determining point-radius locations even though the information may not be required for the extension.

In response to your desire to retain the two frequencies in the STA it