

**From:** Jay Jackson  
**To:** John Kennedy  
**Date:** 11/29/01 2:54PM  
**Subject:** Re: Coordination for 0243-EX-PL-2001

I can not in good conscience approve a blanket authorization like this in city after city. What kind of experiment is this anyway? Why isn't one location sufficient? Are they operating a regular multi-city data service under the color of an experimental license? They asked for 20 MHz in the VHF range, 20 MHz in the 450 MHz range and 29 MHz in the 900 MHz range. With these parameters, they could transmit over paging, two-way, LMS, narrowband PCS, bands. Chances are, if it really is an experiment, they only want to use one 50 kHz channel pair in each frequency range, and they would probably say, 'we don't know which channel we need to use in any given city until we see what is already in use in that city, so we applied for the whole band assuming we could find a vacant channel somewhere in there.' But I am just assuming, I can't know anything like this for sure based on the parameters you referred. And even so, I'd prefer it say one channel pair of 50 kHz bandwidth in each band somewhere on the license.

>>> John Kennedy 29-Nov-01 2:10:00 PM >>>  
Dear Mr. Jay Jackson:

Below are Mr. Buenzow's response to the new experimental license requests 0243, 0246, 0248 and 0253 from the company Axonn asking for the same bands in different locations (the last band in 0253 has an additional 4 MHz). At the bottom of this e-mail is your response to 0243 which is the same for 0253.

If Axonn agrees to Mr. Buenzow's conditions, would you still like Axonn to select narrower bands? If so, which bands would you prefer that they narrow? Is there a maximum width of frequencies beyond which you do not want them to select?

I have not sent them a 30 day e-mail yet, but I suspect they might ask me the questions above.

John

**From:** Steve Buenzow  
**To:** John Kennedy  
**Date:** 11/23/01 11:46AM  
**Subject:** Re: Coordination for 0243, 246, 248, 253-EX-PL-2001

I have to agree with Jay Jackson's comment on 0243, that it's difficult to do any meaningful analysis with the wide bands that they have specified on each of the above requests. However, I would note the following with respect to PS&PWD services:

(1) Any operation in the 928 - 929 MHz, 932-935 MHz, 941- 952 MHz bands would need to be coordinated with existing and future Part 101 licensees, including future auction winners of Auction 42, in accordance with 101.103.

(2) Any operation in the 161.625 - 161.775 MHz, 162.0125 - 173 MHz, 450-454 MHz 455 - 456 MHz, and 944 - 952 MHz bands should be coordinated with broadcast auxiliary operations through the local broadcast coordinator.

(3) Any operation in these bands on frequencies allocated to Part 90 land mobile users should be coordinated with the designated land mobile coordinator for the specific frequencies being used.

(4) The following frequency pairs are not approved and must be protected:

157.2/161.8  
157.225/161.825  
157.25/161.85  
157.275/161.875  
157.3/161.9  
157.325/161.925  
157.35/161.95  
157.375/161.975  
157.4/162.0

If the applicant wishes to pursue operations on these frequencies, they should contact the public coast auction winner directly:

MariTel Mississippi River, Inc.  
202-434-7483

(5) Operation on frequencies 462.5375 - 462.7375 MHz and 467.5375 - 467.7375 MHz FRS service frequencies should not be permitted.

Non-Public  
For Internal Use Only

>>> John Kennedy 10/29/01 04:52PM >>>  
Please see attachments for coordination. Thanks.

John

>>> Jay Jackson 10/30/01 08:04AM >>>  
I assume this is a renewal, because it has a call sign. If it hasn't caused a problem so far, then what they are actually doing may be reasonable, unlike what is applied for here. I can not give the CWD stamp of approval to such broad frequency ranges, They say this is narrowband (50 kHz), yet they request huge frequency bands. I can do no meaningful analysis with what is presented here. Sorry.

>>> John Kennedy 29-Oct-01 4:52:17 PM >>>  
Please see attachments for coordination. Thanks.

John

**CC:** Steve Buenzow