Douglas Young

From:	George Tannahill
Sent:	Wednesday, January 09, 2008 11:52 AM
To:	Douglas Young
Subject: FW: Unable to Send Mail Record	

Hi Doug,

The reply below is for one of your applications. It came in a while ago. George

From: Generic Office of Engineering Technology [mailto:oetech@fccsun27w.fcc.gov] Sent: Tue 12/18/2007 4:00 PM To: George Tannahill Subject: Unable to Send Mail Record From jeff_solum@starkey.com Tue Dec 18 14:38:31 2007 Return-Path: <jeff_solum@starkey.com> Received: from smarthost1.fcc.gov (smarthost1.fcc.gov [165.135.234.3]) by fccsun27w.fcc.gov (8.11.7p1+Sun/8.10.2) with ESMTP id IBIJcUC25996 for <oetech@fccsun27w.fcc.gov>; Tue, 18 Dec 2007 14:38:30 -0500 (EST) Received: from dmz-mail2.fcc.gov ([165.135.0.251] [165.135.0.251]) by smarthost1.fcc.gov with ESMTP for oetech@fccsun27w.fcc.gov; Tue, 18 Dec 2007 14:38:29 -0500 Received: from smtp-gw1.starkey.com (smtp-gw1.starkey.com [167.100.118.121]) by dmz-mail2.fcc.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id F117F1E3A5 for <oetech@fccsun27w.fcc.gov>; Tue, 18 Dec 2007 14:36:17 -0500 (EST) Received: from auth1.starkey.com (sunftp1.starkey.com [167.100.50.95]) by smtp-gw1.starkey.com (8.13.8/8.13.8) with ESMTP id IBIJcOg1028751 for <oetech@fccsun27w.fcc.gov>; Tue, 18 Dec 2007 13:38:24 -0600 (CST) Received: from SolumJXPLaptop.ms.starkey.com ([167.100.144.135]) by auth1.starkey.com (Sun Java System Messaging Server 6.2-9.06 (built Sep 4 2007)) with ESMTPA id <0JT900ARNFW0LI50@auth1.starkey.com> for oetech@fccsun27w.fcc.gov; Tue, 18 Dec 2007 13:38:24 -0600 (CST) Content-return: prohibited Date: Tue, 18 Dec 2007 13:38:21 -0600 From: Jeff Solum < jeff solum@starkey.com> Subject: RE: Request for Info - File #0695-EX-PL-2007 [Reference Number: 5915] In-reply-to: <200712181703.IBIH3Dc14211@fccsun27w.fcc.gov> To: Generic Office of Engineering Technology <oetech@fccsun27w.fcc.gov> Message-Id: <0JT900AROFW0LI50@auth1.starkey.com> MIME-version: 1.0 X-Mailer: Sun Outlook Connector 7.1.231.1 Content-type: TEXT/PLAIN; CHARSET=US-ASCII Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT Content-Length: 1792 Douglas,

As to item 1. The answer is yes. The equipment shall be compliant with all other provisions of 15.247.

As to Item 2. We are currently building a number of prototype units which will be compatable with 15.249. A number of those units will be modified to run the experiment. I cannot say for sure which units or how many will be used for this field test. Is there a number that you would feel more comfortable with? I think I revised the number from 500 down to 250.

Jeff

-----Original Message-----From: Generic Office of Engineering Technology [mailto:oetech@fccsun27w.fcc.gov] Sent: Tuesday, December 18, 2007 11:03 AM To: jeff_solum@starkey.com Subject: Request for Info - File #0695-EX-PL-2007 [Reference Number: 5915]

1. You have stated that you are requesting to operate under 15.247, with the caveat that your 6 dB bandwidth will be at least 100 kHz instead of 500 kHz. Is it correct then that you will be complying with all other provisions of 15.247? 2. Submit a justification for the number of units requested.

Confirmation Number: EL415299 Douglas.Young@fcc.gov

The items indicated above must be submitted before processing can continue on the above referenced application. Failure to provide the requested information within 30 days of 12/18/2007 may result in application dismissal pursuant to Section 5.67 and forfeiture of the filing fee pursuant to Section 1.1108.

DO NOT Reply to this email by using the 'Reply' button. In order for your response to be processed expeditiously, you must upload your response via the Internet at <u>https://fjallfoss.fcc.gov/oetcf/els/index.cfm</u> by clicking on the 'Reply to Correspondence' hyperlink.

All references to this correspondence must contain reference number 5915