

“  
*Amendment*  
”

**Carl Huie**

---

**From:** lbushman [lavarre.bushman@swri.org]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 01, 2004 9:33 AM  
**To:** Carl Huie  
**Cc:** Patrick J Siemsen; William G. Guion  
**Subject:** RE: 145-EX-PL-2004



FCOnly\_exbt1\_FIX  
ED.xls (82 KB...

Carl,

Of course we are pleased to use the frequencies you have proposed. You will notice that I have loaded your new frequency list into the leftmost column of tabs #2 and #3 of the attached Excel spreadsheet. Our lament is that we need the most granularity possible to investigate high-Q phenomena that may exist in our antennas over a rather narrow bandwidth. If there are additional frequencies you can suggest to fill in the "holes," please do.

Best regards,  
LaVarre Bushman  
Program Manager  
Southwest Research Institute  
210-522-2005  
www.swri.org

-----Original Message-----

From: Carl Huie [mailto:Carl.Huie@fcc.gov]  
Sent: Tuesday, August 31, 2004 11:52 AM  
To: lbushman  
Cc: Patrick J Siemsen  
Subject: RE: 145-EX-PL-2004

144-EX-PL-2004 is granted.

For 145-EX-PL-2004, please advise whether or NOT the following frequencies are acceptable:

60 MHz	152.855 MHz
66	154
72	156.2475
76	157.45
82	161.575
88	174
108	180
144	186
148	192
	198
	204
	216

Use of above freqs will be subject to prior coordination with Society of Broadcast Engineers (SBE), which will appear as condition on license.

122.6 MHz is in aviation band and cannot be granted on long term basis. 108 MHz is nearest we can grant. If it is necessary to transmit in aviation band, we will consider application for Special Temporary Authority (STA) as need arises on flight test freqs (see Section 87.303 of FCC rules).

\*\*\* Non-Public: For Internal Use Only \*\*\*