From: Richard Ortman

To: Leann Nguyen

Date: December 09, 2019

Subject: Request for Info - File # 1024-EX-CN-2019

Message:

Hello!

Apologies for the confusion and errors with our applications. It was unclear how we should enter an area for mobile operation that stretches linearly down a road across ~30 miles (as detailed in application exhibit). I have gone in and chosen a city at the center of the stretch of road, and added a radius of 21 km along that point thereby covering the full 30 mile stretch of road. I'm still not sure if this is the correct procedure or not. Please do advise and I will make the corrections accordingly. Realistically our radius is really only intended to by 1 Km stretching across that 30 miles of road.

Also, in regards to the "many duplicated applications", are you able to provide any more information regarding how I can see that this is the case? As far as I am aware, we have only recently submitted 2 applications (\$70 each), both being unique in the requests regarding the elevation of transmitters. We haven't been charged for more than 2 applications. Are you referring to prior applications that were started but never paid for/ filed? You are correct in that I had started several filings, but was under the impression that by not having paid for them they would never be completely filed. Apologies if I was incorrect. Any applications that have not been paid for for processing we would of course anticipate being dismissed. Sorry for any inconvenience this has caused; i will work quickly and aptly to rectify any issues.

Regards,

-Richard Ortman