Marlene H. Dortch Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12<sup>th</sup> St. NW Washington DC 20554

## **Re: APPLICATION NUMBER HERE Request for Confidential Treatment of Material Filed**

## FILED ELECTRONICALLY

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On November 5th, Cisco Systems, Inc. (hereinafter "Cisco") provided to the FCC additional pictures for information to the FCC. Per section 0.459 of the Commission's rules, this letter requests confidential treatment of material filed in support of the above-referenced application. Cisco submits the following in conformance with the requirements of section 0.459(b) of the Commission's rules:

- 1. Identification of the specific information for which confidential treatment is sought.
  - Photos of the test vehicle and test set up
- 2. Identification of the Commission proceeding in which the information was submitted.
  - OET Experimental License Application File
- 3. Explanation of the degree to which the information concerns a service that is subject to competition.
  - Cisco concern is that their mobile demonstration vehicle may be construed as a product
- 4. Explanation for how disclosure of the information could result in substantial competitive harm.
  - The information contained in the document presents information about a conceptual product that is being evaluated for possible commercial development. If competitors were to have access to this document, they would have knowledge of Cisco's pending business plans as far as radio support or product development, and would be able to use this advance knowledge to their advantage. This could result in substantial competitive

harm to Cisco's ability to bring a 3650 MHz product to market, should that decision be made.

- 5. Identification of any measures taken by the submitting party to prevent unauthorized disclosure.
  - Information about Cisco's products under development including engineering development or demonstration tools is considered by the company to be commercially sensitive information that employees are not permitted to discuss outside the company.
- 6. Identification of whether the information is available to the public and the extent of any previous disclosure of information to third parties.
  - Information about product development is not routinely provided to the public, and is not available on Cisco's web site. No information about this product has been disclosed.
- 7. Justification of the period during which the submitting party asserts that material should not be available for public disclosure.
  - Cisco requests that the above-identified material not be disclosed for 1 year. This period should provide sufficient time for Cisco to make its evaluation of the product.
  - .

Sincerely,

David Case